BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE MATTER OF 3 DICKMAN LUMBER COMPANY, 4 PCHB No. 479 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 7 8 Respondent. 9

ŧ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

THIS MATTER being an appeal from a notice of civil penalty of \$100.00 for an alleged smoke emission violation having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 7th day of February, 1974, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant Dickman Lumber Company appearing through Naylor B. Middleton, its Secretary-Treasurer, and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being Walt Woodward and Mary Ellen McCaffree; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and

arguments of counsel and having entered on the 6th day of March, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order; and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 6th day of March, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 5 1 day of April, 1974. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1 CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 2 I, Dolories Osland, certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing document on the \_ day of , 1974 to each of the 3 4 following parties: 5 Mr. Keith D. McGoffin Burkey, Marsico, Rovai 6 & McGoffin 818 South Yakima Avenue 7 Tacoma, Washington 98405 8 Mr. Naylor B. Middleton Secretary-Treasurer 9 Dickman Lumber Company P. O. Box 1255 10 Tacoma, Washington 11 Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency `2 410 West Harrison Street Seattle, Washington 98119 13 the foregoing being the last known post office addresses of the above-14 named parties. I further certify that proper postage had been affixed 15 to the envelopes deposited in the U. S. mail. 16 17 DOLORIES OSLAND, Clerk 18 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 19 20 21 2223 24 5 26 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

3

S F No 2028-A-

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF DICKMAN LUMBER COMPANY, 4 PCHB No. 479 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, VS. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 6 AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A formal hearing on the appeal of the Dickman Lumber Company to a notice of civil penalty of \$100.00 for an alleged smoke emission violation of Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency's Regulation I, came before two members of the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer, and Mary Ellen McCaffree) in the Washington Commerce Building, Seattle, Washington, at 3:00 p.m., February 7, 1974.

Appellant appeared through Naylor B. Middleton, Secretary-Treasurer, Dickman Lumber Co.; respondent appeared through its

1 | counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Eugene Barker, Olympic court reporter, 2 recorded the proceedings. 3 Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. 4 Arguments were made. 5 From testimony heard, exhibits examined and arguments considered, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these 6 7 FINDINGS OF FACT 8 Τ. 9 The Dickman Lumber Company (hereinafter appellant), 2423 Ruston Way, Tacoma, Washington, is one of twenty-six original saw 10 11 mills in Tacoma. It manufactures structural lumber products which are sold to its customers around the world. It processes approximately ι2 13 two million board feet of logs cut into lumber each month. 14 Birchfield Dutch oven wood waste burning boilers had been installed 15 ın 1922. 16 II. 17 From April 16, 1970, to September 1, 1972, the following notices 18 of violations were received by the appellant from the Puget Sound Air 19 Pollution Control Agency (hereinafter respondent): 20 April 16, 1970 - Notice of Violation No. 2558 21 November 2, 1971 - Notice of Violation No. 3073 22 August 2, 1971 - Notice of Violation No. 4179 23September 13, 1971 - Notice of Violation No. 4230 24July 19, 1972 - Notice of Violation No. 5358 25 September 1, 1972 - Notice of Violation No. 5438

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,

26

The first five notices were in violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's

1 |Regulation I and the sixth notice was in violation of Section 9.09 of respondent's Regulation I. The appellant answered each notice of violation and there were no civil penalties received by the appellant in connection with any of the above notice of violation.

III.

In November, 1972, the appellant hired a consulting engineering firm to make recommendations as to the changes required in its equipment to enable it to cease violations of Regulation I of the Respondent. The appellant ultimately decided that the only course of action for it to pursue was to retire its old wood waste burning boilers and to replace them with new boilers. A compliance schedule was worked out with the respondent with a completion date of January 10, 1974 set for the appellant. However, the appellant informed the respondent by letter dated September 21, 1973 that the compliance schedule had been completed and their old boilers were now retired. At a cost of \$123,000.00 the appellant had installed all new electrical equipment.

IV.

On October 15, 1973, the appellant started to burn in its old burners, the waste wood and sawdust that had accumulated during the changeover of boilers. It had not anticipated any smoke resulting from this operation. However, due to unforseen circumstances there was smoke emitted into the atmosphere, and at about 1:30 p.m. an inspector on the respondent's staff observed that there was emitted from the stacks at the appellant's mill grey white smoke in the shade of 50 to 90 percent opacity for at least six consecutive

2

5

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

53

 $25^{\circ}$ 

1 | minutes. After observing the above described emission, he issued Notice of Violation No. 8234 to appellant. Subsequently, and in connection therewith, appellant was served with Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1218 in the amount of \$100.00, being two-fifths of the maximum amount which respondent may invoke for a violation of its Regulation I. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow an air contaminant emission for more than three minutes in any one hour of a shade darker than a 40% density.

VI.

On October 17, 1973, the respondent notified the appellant by letter that the discontinued hogged fuel boiler could not lawfully be used as an incinerator. At which time the appellant shut down this operation and disposed of the waste wood by other means. was the testimony of the appellant that the sawdust resulting from the changeover operation had not as yet been disposed of.

From these findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as cited in Notice of Violation No. 8234.

II.

Notice of Penalty No. 1218 is reasonable, but in view of the tremendous effort over a number of years that has been demonstrated

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

 $\cdot 2$ 

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	by the appellant and the good faith shown, further clemency is
2	indicated.
3	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this
4	ORDER
5	The appeal is denied, but the civil penalty of \$100.00 is being
6	suspended on condition of no more similar violation for a period of
7	six months from the date this Order becomes final.
8	DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 6th day of March , 1974
9	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
10	8100 81 0 0
11	WALT WOODWARD Charman
12	
13	mare construction 1
14	MARY ELLEN McCAFFREE, Member
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ı
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
27	